

about previously, was driving along a quiet road, Highway 2, from Williston, ND, to Minot, ND, one afternoon after attending a League of Cities meeting in Williston. She stopped at a rest stop, and she was unlucky enough that afternoon to be confronted at the rest stop by a violent felon from the State of Washington. He had been let out early and should have been in jail. But he wasn't. He slashed her throat. And while she lay there bleeding, people thought she would die. Someone came along that road that day, and it turned out they had a cell phone. The woman in the car knew something about nursing and she saved Julie's life.

The fact is, that young woman, while her life was saved, is now going through years and years of therapy to be able to talk normally once again. Her throat was slashed very badly when she was assaulted by this felon. He was chased by the police and he committed suicide some miles down the road. But he should not have been on the roads and highways and should not have been threatening Julie Schultz. Yet he was.

It is true of Mr. Robert Lee Dyer, except that if Judge Thurman Rhodes had not let him out on bail he would have been incarcerated. Instead, Jamel Stephon Zimmerman is now dead.

I hope this criminal justice system, judges, prosecutors, and I hope finally this Senate and the House will find a way to pass legislation saying we are going to distinguish between those who commit nonviolent crimes and those who commit violent crimes.

Everyone should understand this. Commit a violent crime, and you are going to spend your time in jail until the end of your term. You are not going to be released early to commit another violent crime against an innocent bystander.

Mr. President, I yield the floor. I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The bill clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDER OF PROCEDURE—S. 1

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that on Tuesday, following the 10:15 a.m. vote on the Bolton nomination, the Senate proceed to the vote in relation to the listed amendments in the following order: Craig amendment No. 372; Kennedy amendment No. 375.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDERS FOR TUESDAY, MAY 8, 2001

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that when the Senate completes its business today, it adjourn until the hour of 9:30 a.m. on Tuesday, May 8. I further ask unanimous consent that on Tuesday, immediately following the prayer, the Journal of the proceedings be approved to date, the morning hour be deemed to have expired, the time for the two leaders be reserved for their use later in the day, and the Senate then resume consideration of the Bolton nomination as under the previous order.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### ORDER FOR RECESS FOR PARTY CONFERENCES TO MEET

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the Senate stand in recess from the hours of 12:30 p.m. to 2:15 p.m. for the weekly policy conferences to meet.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### PROGRAM

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, for the information of all Senators, the Senate will have 45 minutes to complete debate on the Bolton nomination beginning at 9:30 tomorrow morning. A vote on confirmation of the nomination will begin at 10:15 a.m. with votes on amendments to the education bill stacked to follow. Following votes, the Senate will resume consideration of the education bill. Amendments will be offered and, therefore, votes will occur throughout tomorrow's session.

Senators should also expect votes throughout the week in an effort to make significant progress on the education bill and to complete action on the conference report to accompany the budget resolution.

#### ORDER FOR ADJOURNMENT

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, if there is no further business to come before the Senate, I now ask that the Senate stand in adjournment under the previous order, following the remarks of Senator WELLSTONE.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I think Senator WELLSTONE is expected on the floor soon.

I suggest the absence of a quorum.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The clerk will call the roll.

The legislative clerk proceeded to call the roll.

Mr. WELLSTONE. Mr. President, I ask unanimous consent that the order for the quorum call be rescinded.

The PRESIDING OFFICER (Mr. FITZGERALD). Without objection, it is so ordered.

#### NOMINATION OF JOHN ROBERT BOLTON TO BE UNDER SECRETARY OF STATE FOR ARMS CONTROL AND INTERNATIONAL SECURITY—Continued

Mr. WELLSTONE. I thank the Chair. I thank my colleagues for their graciousness. I did want a chance to speak about the nomination of John R. Bolton to be Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security Affairs. I thank colleagues for providing me this opportunity. My understanding is that we are going to adjourn soon. I hope I have not inconvenienced everyone.

Mr. President, filling this position is a critical responsibility of the new administration. Crafting the Nation's arms control agenda is a formidable, serious task that directly affects our national security. Moreover, the administration needs to have its arms control team in place as soon as possible. For these reasons, I do not oppose John Bolton's nomination lightly.

As a member of the Senate Foreign Relations Committee, I am convinced that the position of Under Secretary of State for Arms Control and International Security Affairs must be filled with an individual who is committed to advancing the entire Nation's agenda. He or she must carry out arms control responsibilities in the spirit of idealism that characterizes the best tradition of America's public servants.

The individual who is confirmed by the Senate must provide deliberate and thoughtful advice to the Secretary of State, independent of political party allegiance or affiliation. He or she must be objective in his analysis of exceedingly complex issues. He or she must be committed to protecting our national security, to reducing the world's immense stockpile of nuclear weapons, and to making the world a safer place for all mankind.

After careful consideration, I have concluded that John Bolton is not the right man for Under Secretary for Arms Control and Non-proliferation. I believe John Bolton is too conservative and too partisan; his views are too extreme for a position of this importance and he does not represent the kind of bipartisan cooperation needed to advance the Nation's arms control agenda. Finally, I do not believe that John Bolton possesses the requisite arms control experience to carry out the responsibilities of this job effectively.

I want to make clear that I do not question John Bolton's integrity or his commitment to public service. I had a chance to meet with him, and I do not question this at all. He has a long career in senior appointed positions in the administrations of Presidents Reagan and George Herbert Walker Bush. I respect his willingness to serve our Nation again. I recognize the prerogative and responsibility of Presidents to nominate their foreign policy